

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
01/01/2020 - 01/31/2020

| IA No | Opened | Incident type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| IA2017-0287 | 4/26/2017 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy harassed, intimidated, controlled, and forced his wife, a previous Dispatcher, into a sexual relationship four years ago. Additionally, the Dispatcher alleged the sexual relationship may have occurred while the Deputy was on duty. Additionally, she alleged the Deputy used a protected law enforcement database to obtain her address. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems | Not-Sustained Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Unfounded | N/A | There were no saved text messages or other communications between the Dispatcher and the Deputy; there was insufficient evidence to prove the Deputy forced the previous MCSO Dispatcher into a sexual relationship and this sexual relationship happened when the Deputy was on duty. The allegation of the Deputy using a law enforcement database to obtain the Dispatcher's address was found to be false and not based on fact. | 1/7/2020 |
| IA2017-0444 | 6/27/2017 | Internal Complaint | It was reported that a Detention Officer closed the security gate at Towers Jail while a transportation bus was driving through, causing gate and vehicle to be damaged. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | N/A N/A | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove who or what caused the gate to close. | 1/7/2020 |
| IA2017-0562 | 8/13/2017 | Internal Complaint | An EIS alert was generated due to a Detention Officer reaching the threshold of unscheduled absences. This alert came after his attendance was discussed with him previously. | GC1 - Leave and Absences | Exonerated | N/A | It was found the EIS alert was generated in error due to three consecutive absences not being counted as one illness event. The Detention Officer was found to have followed policy and procedure regarding call out procedures. | 1/7/2020 |
| IA2017-0641 | 9/7/2017 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer is having an inappropriate relationship with an inmate to include flirting, allowing the inmate to touch him in a familiar manner, and placing money on the inmate's account. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Inmates or Prisoners | Unfounded | N/A | The complainant reported she did not observe any of the alleged misconduct. Named Detention Officers and inmates denied they witnessed the alleged misconduct. The involved inmate and the Detention Officer denied the alleged events occurred. The allegation was found to be false and not based on fact. | 1/7/2020 |
| IA2018-0190 | 3/26/2018 | External Complaint | An anonymous complainant alleged a Deputy was rude to them. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy failed to activate her body worn camera. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras | Not-Sustained Sustained | Coaching | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy was rude. It was found the Deputy failed to activate her body worn camera when contacting members of the public. | 1/7/2020 |
| IA2019-0337 | 7/3/2019 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that a Deputy did not have cause to conduct a traffic stop on her; the Deputy relayed to her a headlight was out on her vehicle, but it is her belief that both headlights were operational at the time of the stop. | EB1 - Traffic Enforcement | Unfounded | N/A | It was found the Deputy had reasonable suspicion to conduct a vehicle stop. The body worn camera footage supported the Deputy's initial observation of the headlamp appearing to be functioning improperly. The allegation was found to be false and not supported by fact. | 1/7/2020 |
| IA2018-0657 | 11/5/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged two Deputies failed to collect all evidence (recorder) from the scene even after she told him about it. She alleged the Deputy made a false arrest, did not author a thorough and complete report; used race and gender as a factor in law enforcement duties. She alleged one Deputy did not treat her in a fair and impartial manner by leaving her in the cold, siding with her husband about changing the locks, and telling her she needs an attorney to remove items from her home. Additionally, it was alleged a sworn Sergeant failed to look for the recorder due to her race and gender. She also alleged the Sergeant reached out to her reference her complaint so she would violate her release conditions and gain inside knowledge. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling EA11 - Arrest procedures EA8 - Domestic Violence GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling EA11 - Arrest procedures EA8 - Domestic Violence GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | N/A N/A N/A | It was found the Deputies had probable cause for her arrest; the allegation was false and not based on fact. Due to body worn camera footage of the incident and subsequent interviews, it was found the Deputies attempted to collect all evidence at the time of the incident: and after when additional information was provided. It was found the incident report was thorough and complete; the allegation was false and not based on fact. Body worn camera showed race and gender never played a role in any law enforcement decisions; the allegation was false and not supported by fact. Based on body worn camera footage, it was found the Deputy made numerous small gestures to benefit the complainant. It was found the Deputy kept the complainant separated from her husband, discussed her husband changing the locks, and discussed her need for an attorney; these actions occurred but were found within MCSO policy and procedure. Body worn camera footage showed the complainant never told the Sergeant about the recorder; the allegation deciding not to look for the recordings based on bias was found to be false and not supported by fact. It was found the Sergeant was within MCSO policy and procedure when he took the complaint; the complainant's release conditions were not affected. | 1/8/2020 |

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
01/01/2020 - 01/31/2020

| IA No | Opened | Incident type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|---|---|---|--------------------|--|-----------|
| IA2017-0677 | 9/25/2017 | Internal Complaint | A Deputy driving an MCSO vehicle was struck from behind by a motorcycle; the driver of the motorcycle received serious injuries. | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles | Exonerated | N/A | The Deputy was found to be within MCSO policy and procedure while operating his vehicle. | 1/10/2020 |
| IA2017-0734 | 10/9/2017 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged his property was never returned to him when he was released from jail. | DO2 - Release Process | Unfounded | N/A | The allegation was found to be false and not supported by fact. It was found the complainant signed the Property Inventory Form, verifying he received all his property upon release. | 1/10/2020 |
| IA2018-0718 | 12/20/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged an Unknown Detention Officer has been isolating female inmates and sexually assaulting them. The complainant alleged his girlfriend was one of the females. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Unfounded | N/A | Facility video surveillance showed the alleged victim was on camera while in MCSO custody, and therefore was never alone with any male Detention Officers, specifically, those matching the description provided by the complainant. Additionally, the victim stated she was never sexually assaulted by MCSO Detention Staff. The allegation was found to be false and not supported by fact. | 1/10/2020 |
| IA2017-0831 | 11/14/2017 | Internal Complaint | It is alleged a SIMS Clerk failed to process inmate paperwork properly, resulting in the inmate's late release. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained | N/A | The alleged SIMS employee was no longer employed with the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office and refused to participate in an interview. Due to the lack of her statements, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove she processed an inmate's paperwork properly. | 1/13/2020 |
| IA2017-0875 | 12/4/2017 | External Complaint | It is alleged a Detention Recruit is being inappropriate and female recruits are uncomfortable with his behavior. | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism | Not-Sustained | N/A | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove inappropriate or unprofessional behavior of the Detention Recruit due witness statements. | 1/13/2020 |
| IA2014-0256 | 5/27/2014 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged the Sworn Sergeant was rude and obnoxious. The complainant also alleged he asked for another Sergeant or a Captain and was told to call 911. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | N/A | This incident occurred prior to the implementation of body worn cameras. Therefore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Sergeant was rude and obnoxious. | 1/14/2020 |
| IA2018-0343 | 5/29/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy Sergeant refused to accept his complaint, threatened to arrest him for wanting to file a complaint, and was unprofessional and hostile during a phone conversation with him. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GH2 - Internal Investigations CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Exonerated Sustained Sustained Unfounded | Employee Suspended | It was found the Sergeant failed to properly process alleged employee misconduct and told the complainant he did not believe the allegation was legitimate. The Sergeant was within MCSO policy and procedure by warning the complainant it was inappropriate to call 911 to have his complaint addressed. Body worn camera video footage showed the allegation of unprofessional and hostile conduct by the Sergeant was false and not supported by fact. | 1/14/2020 |
| IA2018-0695 | 12/6/2018 | Internal Complaint | A Detention Officer reported he was the victim of domestic violence. He alleged he was abused by another MCSO Detention Officer. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained | N/A | The complainant did not provide any evidence to support his allegations. Furthermore, no records were provided to prove the complainant reported the alleged domestic violence incidents to Law Enforcement Officials, MCSO supervisory staff, or sought medical attention. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer committed acts of domestic violence. | 1/14/2020 |

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
01/01/2020 - 01/31/2020

| IA No | Opened | Incident type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------|
| IA2019-0013 | 1/10/2019 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer violated an Order of Protection, mistreated her daughter, "threatened" and "harassed" her, abused his child, and abused cats on a regular basis. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Exonerated | N/A | The Surprise Police Department investigation found the Detention Officer was within the area but did not violate the Order of Protection due to not having direct contact; the Officer's actions were within MCSO policy and procedure. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer mistreated her daughter. Due to statements made in a previous police report referenced by the complainant, the allegation of threatening statements by the Detention Officer were found to be false and not supported by fact. Due to no evidence provided by the complainant and no past police reports filed, the allegation of abuse of his child was found to be false and not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer abused cats. | 1/14/2020 |
| IA2018-0527 | 8/15/2018 | Internal Complaint | An anonymous employee alleged a Detention ID Tech has been abusing sick time. | GC1 - Leave and Absences | Unfounded | N/A | It was found the sick time used by the Detention ID Tech was protected by FMLA. It was found the allegation was false and not supported by fact. | 1/16/2020 |
| IA2018-0566 | 9/7/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy kept property seized during a search warrant. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws GE3 - Property Management | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | N/A | Due to a lack of details provided by the complainant reference a known date, location, or time of these search warrants, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy kept the property. | 1/21/2020 |
| IA2019-0232 | 5/13/2019 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude during a traffic stop when he yelled at his wife and placed his hand on his gun when approaching his wife's vehicle. Additionally, it was alleged the actions of the Deputy were racially biased. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded Unfounded | N/A | The body worn camera footage contradicted the allegations of bias and rudeness. The allegations were found to be false and not supported by fact. | 1/21/2020 |
| IA2017-0310 | 5/5/2017 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that she believes one of the arresting Deputies, during her arrest at a search warrant, took her diamond ring. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Body worn camera footage showed the Deputies and Sergeant conducting the search warrant did not take the diamond ring. The allegation was found to be false and not supported by fact. | 1/23/2020 |
| IA2018-0042 | 1/19/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy took \$240.00 from his wallet when he left it unattended at the location of the incident. Additionally, the complainant believed the Deputy had no right to take possession of his property. | GE3 - Property Management CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Exonerated Unfounded | N/A | The Deputy was found to have been within MCSO policy and procedure when she retrieved his property for safe keeping until he could collect it. Based on body worn camera footage, the allegation of the Deputy taking money from the wallet was found to be false and not supported by fact. | 1/23/2020 |
| IA2018-0224 | 4/10/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy did not appropriately handle a call for service and dismissed his wife's concerns based on the Deputy's opinion. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy was short and appeared bothered by his wife's concerns. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines | Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained | Written Reprimand | It was found the Deputy did not appropriately handle the call for service and failed to author an incident report. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy was rude and dismissive. | 1/23/2020 |
| IA2018-0021 | 1/10/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer made sexual comments and gestures toward him. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Employee Suspended | Multiple witness accounts verified the Detention Officer made inappropriate sexual comments and gestures toward an inmate. | 1/24/2020 |
| IA2018-0410 | 6/25/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer threatened her and denied her a legal visit with her son. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DK3 - Inmate Visitation | Not-Sustained Exonerated | N/A | It was found the Detention Officer was within MCSO policy and procedure when he denied the legal visit of the complainant. This was due to the complainant not having an attorney present, the proper credentials, and approval from the Jail Commander for a legal visit with a family member. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer was unprofessional and threatening due to a lack of video surveillance audio. | 1/24/2020 |

Professional Standards Bureau
 Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
 01/01/2020 - 01/31/2020

| IA No | Opened | Incident type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|----------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------|------------|---|-----------|
| IA2019-0046 | 2/4/2019 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged several recording devices with HSU and ESU data from 2008-2014 were found in a Division storage cabinet. | GE3 - Property Management | Exonerated | N/A | With the exceptions of one video, it was found all other files were found to have been impounded into property or did not contain enough information to verify their evidentiary value. It was found the property had been impounded and handled according to MCSO policy and procedure. The one video not impounded properly is being investigated in a subsequent administrative investigation. | 1/24/2020 |