

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
12/01/2022 - 12/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------|
| IA2018-0558 | 8/30/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that out of dislike for a class instructor and his program, an Inmate Program Coordinator solicited negative reviews from inmates in an attempt to cancel the course. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | | The investigation found that there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation due to a lack of evidence and being unable to interview inmates the complainant spoke with regarding the allegation. | 12/5/2022 |
| IA2022-0356 | 8/9/2022 | Internal Complaint | The complainant alleged a fellow Detention Officer was sleeping on duty. During the investigation, it was alleged the complainant Officer failed to make a reasonable decision when he allowed the Officer to sleep on duty. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty | Sustained Sustained | Coaching Employee Suspended | The Detention Officer admitted to falling asleep on duty. It was also found the complainant Officer failed to make a reasonable decision when he allowed the Officer to continue to sleep on duty. | 12/6/2022 |
| CIA2022-0020 | 10/18/2022 | External Complaint Criminal | The complainant alleged a Janitor inappropriately touched her while she slept during her time in custody. | | | Unfounded | There was no evidence to support the allegation of sexual assault on the inmate. Additionally, the inmate mentioned that she sometimes dreams up or fabricates occurrences. This case is cleared as Unfounded. | 12/12/2022 |
| IA2019-0416 | 8/13/2019 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy was in dereliction of his duty by failing to complete over 60 homicide supplements in a timely manner. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty | Unfounded | | The investigation found the Deputy was re-assigned to the patrol division and his new duties were patrol functions. At the time of this complaint all supplemental reports were turned in and completed. The allegation was found to be false or not supported by fact. | 12/14/2022 |
| IA2021-0344 | 6/28/2021 | External Complaint | The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer told her if she walked behind her, she would "elbow her in the nose." | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The Detention Officer admitted to making a statement toward the inmate. | 12/14/2022 |
| IA2022-0311 | 7/14/2022 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer accessed information in SHIELD for personal use. It was also alleged the Officer failed to promptly keep his supervisor informed his family member was booked into an MCSO facility. | GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed | Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | The Detention Officer admitted he accessed SHIELD for personal reasons and failed to notify his supervisor of his family member that was booked into MCSO custody. | 12/14/2022 |
| IA2022-0409 | 8/25/2022 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy failed to complete assignments properly when he misplaced a victim's ID card during a call for service and did not return it. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained | Coaching | It was found the Deputy failed to complete assignments properly when he misplaced a victim's ID card. | 12/14/2022 |
| CIA2021-0026 | 12/13/2021 | Internal Complaint Criminal | It was alleged multiple Detention Officers used excessive force on an inmate. | CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force | | Inactive Inactive Inactive Inactive | Unable to interview the alleged victim regarding the allegation of force by Detention Officers, the case is cleared as Inactive. | 12/20/2022 |
| IA2022-0240 | 6/1/2022 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged that a Detention Officer failed to replace his morning meal and refused to file his grievances. Additionally, another Detention Officer did not allow the inmate to verify his canteen order resulting in missing items. It was also alleged that Officers mocked the inmate's nationality by singing a song from his country while conducting a security walk. Finally, during his interview, the inmate complainant alleged he was treated differently because he is not Mexican. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor DJ3 - Inmate Grievance Procedure CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Not-Sustained Exonerated Unfounded Unfounded | | After interviewing the inmate complainant, he admitted that he did not check the meal when it was delivered and acknowledged it was his responsibility to do so. Therefore, the Officer's discretion to not replace his meal well after meal service was within policy and procedure. The inmate complainant also admitted he did not submit a grievance. Furthermore, although he knew items were missing from his canteen order, the inmate accepted it and informed the Officer, "I'm good." Both allegations were found false or not supported by the facts. Additionally, the allegation Officers sang a song while conducting a security walk was also found false or not supported by the fact. The inmate could not provide the investigator with names, dates, or any specific information about occurrences when he was treated differently because of his race. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | 12/21/2022 |
| IA2022-0468 | 9/21/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer paid for sexual intercourse on several occasions before and while employed with MCSO. It was also alleged the Officer was not truthful to a government agency during the application process for employment. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct | Sustained Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation found the Officer failed to conform to established laws when he admitted to paying for sex acts. It was also determined the Officer violated policy when he paid for sex while employed with MCSO. Additionally, during the interview process with a government agency, the Officer signed a written statement indicating he intentionally withheld information about his payments for prostitution. | 12/22/2022 |

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
12/01/2022 - 12/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| IA2020-0257 | 6/1/2020 | External Complaint | The CHS complainant alleged a Detention Officer was disrespectful and inappropriate when she yelled at her and other CHS staff members in the presence of an inmate. The complainant also alleged the Officer was not wearing a mask during their interaction. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained Exonerated | Previously Terminated | Statements made by multiple witnesses show clear and convincing evidence the former Detention Officer violated policy when she yelled at and was discourteous to CHS staff members. The Officer did social distance, but was not mandated to wear a mask at the time of the incident; therefore, the Office's actions were within policy and procedure. | 12/23/2022 |
| IA2021-0556 | 11/1/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer retaliated against an inmate for a grievance filed against another Officer. It was also alleged a second Detention Officer used excessive force on the inmate. | CP11 - Anti-Retaliation CP1-Use of Force | Unfounded Unfounded | | The investigation determined, the allegation that the Detention Officer retaliated against an inmate was false or not supported by fact. A review of the facility surveillance video shows the Detention Officer utilizing the correct procedure of soft and empty-hand control to de-escalate the interaction. Therefore, the use of force allegation was found false or not supported by fact. | 12/23/2022 |
| IA2022-0066 | 2/17/2022 | External Complaint | The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer failed to take appropriate actions when he placed him on lockdown for asking questions. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | Due to conflicting statements made by the inmate complainant, the allegation was found to be false or not supported by fact. | 12/23/2022 |
| IA2022-0201 | 5/12/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that a Deputy did not correctly investigate a theft when he failed to collect fingerprints and additional case evidence. It was also alleged the Deputy failed to return the complainant's calls or messages. Lastly, it was alleged during the investigation the Deputy failed to properly enter and document a collection of evidence before the end of his shift. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail GE3 - Property Management GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines | Exonerated Sustained Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | Without probable cause established, the Deputy performed a thorough investigation within the scope of his authority and Office Policy. The Deputy violated policy when he failed to return phone calls and messages from the complainant. Additionally, the investigation determined case documents were not logged and entered into evidence in a timely manner, violating policy. | 12/23/2022 |
| CIA2022-0006 | 4/19/2022 | Internal Complaint Criminal | It was alleged a Detention Officer used excessive force toward an inmate. | | | Inactive | Due to being unable to reach and interview the alleged victim, the case is cleared as Inactive. | 12/27/2022 |
| IA2019-0575 | 11/12/2019 | Internal Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was sleeping on duty. The employee complainant also alleged the Officer watched a movie on an electronic device while working. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty | Not-Sustained Sustained | Written Reprimand | Due to being unable to reach and interview possible witnesses, the investigation found insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer slept on duty. However, the Officer admitted to watching a movie while working, violating policy. | 12/28/2022 |
| IA2020-0533 | 10/2/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged while a Deputy was speaking with another involved party, he made a negative statement about her demeanor and laughed after other comments were made. It was also alleged the Deputy did not investigate the threat against her during the call for service. Additionally, it was alleged the Deputy provided the other party with legal advice and instructed her to record the complainant inside her home. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor GM1 - Electronic Communications and Voice mail CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded Unfounded Exonerated | | The allegation the Deputy made a negative statement, laughed at comments made, and did not investigate a threat against the complainant was false and not supported by evidence. Additionally, the Deputy was within policy when he advised the other party to record the complainant inside her home and provided them legal advice. | 12/28/2022 |
| IA2021-0277 | 5/28/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy's investigation was incomplete and follow up was not done. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | The allegation the Deputy's investigation was incomplete was false or not supported by fact. | 12/28/2022 |
| IA2021-0547 | 10/25/2021 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy driving an MCSO vehicle was involved in a backing collision. | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles | Sustained | Coaching | It was determined the Deputy driving a MCSO vehicle was at fault in a backing collision. | 12/28/2022 |
| IA2022-0462 | 9/19/2022 | External Complaint | The anonymous complainant alleged Detention Officers were unprofessional by speaking about another Officer while in the employee breakroom. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Unfounded | | After a review of the jail surveillance video and ID scanner logs, there was no indication that one of the Detention Officers was in the employee breakroom during the time of the alleged statements. Therefore, the allegation was found to be false or not supported by fact. | 12/28/2022 |
| IA2018-0467 | 7/19/2018 | External Complaint | It was alleged a MCSO Custodian used a racial slur toward an inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | Witness interviews confirmed the former employee violated policy when he used a racial slur at an inmate. | 12/29/2022 |
| IA2019-0067 | 2/18/2019 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was unprofessional when dealing with facility contractors. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation determined there was sufficient evidence to conclude the Officer violated policy due to a pattern of unprofessional conduct when dealing with outside contractors. | 12/29/2022 |
| IA2019-0403 | 8/6/2019 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Crime Lab Analyst was the at-fault driver in a vehicle accident. | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles | Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation found the former Crime Lab Analyst was the at-fault driver in a rear-end collision. | 12/29/2022 |
| IA2022-0219 | 5/24/2022 | External Complaint | The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer yelled profanities and made sexual comments to another inmate through the in-cell speaker. It was also alleged the Officer issued a Disciplinary Action Report to an inmate in retaliation for submitting a grievance against the Officer. | GI28 - Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) CP11 - Anti-Retaliation | Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | After conducting interviews and reviewing audio recordings, allegations the Officer made inappropriate comments and retaliated against an inmate for reporting him were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justifies a reasonable conclusion of policy violations. | 12/29/2022 |
| IA2017-0496 | 7/19/2017 | Internal Complaint | A Detention Officer alleged that his Detention Sergeant yelled at him during a conversation. During the course of the investigation, it was alleged the Officer yelled at the Sergeant during their interaction. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | Due to conflicting statements of what occurred between the Officer and Sergeant, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. | 12/30/2022 |

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
12/01/2022 - 12/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| IA2018-0147 | 3/7/2018 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged the Detention Officer made a false entry on an official MCSO document and was untruthful during the polygraph examination when applying for employment as a Deputy Sheriff trainee. It was also alleged the Officer intentionally withheld information when he originally applied as a Detention Officer Cadet. | CP5 - Truthfulness CP5 - Truthfulness CP5 - Truthfulness | Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained | Z-Employee Resigned | The investigation found the Detention Officer omitted information from his application; therefore it was found the Officer violated policy by making a false entry on an Official MCSO document and by being untruthful with the polygraph examiner. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Officer intentionally withheld information when he originally applied as a Detention Officer Cadet. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2018-0388 | 6/14/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Polygraph Examiner used vulgar language during her Detention Officer polygraph examination. Additionally, she alleged the employee used unprofessional tactics, including yelling and making her feel like a criminal under interrogation. It was also alleged a Polygraph Examiner Supervisor attempted to get the applicant to admit she used drugs. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Exonerated Unfounded Exonerated | | The Examiner concluded the complainant had yet to disclose all the information and details about the questions asked. Therefore, the Examiner used tactics to elicit the information in a manner acceptable by MCSO standards at the time of the incident. The investigation determined the Examiner used her training and experience when conducting the polygraph. There was clear and convincing evidence the allegation the Examiner was unprofessional was false or not supported by the facts. Finally, it was also found the tactics used by the Supervisor were within Office policy, procedure, and training. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2019-0466 | 9/11/2019 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged approximately eight or nine years ago, multiple Deputies tased, kicked, and hit him when he was already handcuffed on the ground because of his large stature and race. | CP1-Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | | Due to a lack of information and the inability to identify the incident or involved employee, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2020-0203 | 4/27/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged the Deputy only gave his daughter a ticket because of her sexual orientation. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded | | After reviewing body worn camera footage and documents, the Deputy's line of questioning was consistent with his decision-making when issuing citations. There is clear and convincing evidence the Deputy did not make a law enforcement decision based on sexual orientation. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2020-0620 | 11/10/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged Detention Officers were not providing an inmate with necessary medical equipment items and slammed an object on his arm when he reached for a tablet. | DJ3 - Inmate Grievance Procedure CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Alcohol | Unfounded Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded | | Video footage confirmed the Officer did not mishandle the inmate's medical equipment while performing a cell search. After conducting interviews, there was also no evidence the Officer was drinking alcohol while on duty. Therefore, both allegations were false or not supported by the facts. Additionally, due to conflicting statements and no identified witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer threatened the inmate. Furthermore, evidence showed the Sergeant conducted interviews and processed the inmate's grievance following proper procedure. The allegation was found false and not supported by the facts. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2022-0067 | 2/18/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a SIMS Records Specialist was rude and unprofessional during a telephone conversation with another law enforcement agency. It was also alleged the Records Specialist failed to complete her assignment correctly of providing inmate information. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | While speaking with her supervisor, the Records Specialist admitted to being "escalated" during her conversation with someone from another agency. The investigation also determined that she did not perform her job properly and provide the requested inmate information. Both allegations are supported by the preponderance of the evidence to justify a reasonable conclusion of policy violations. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2022-0291 | 6/30/2022 | Internal Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Lieutenant targeted, harassed, and treated a Detention Officer differently due to his ethnicity. It was also alleged the Lieutenant commented to fellow supervisors about his dislike for the Officer. Finally, it was stated the Lieutenant documented false statements in the Officer's monthly supervisor notes. | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism GB2 - Command Responsibility | Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | | The complainant did not participate in the investigation or provide any details supporting his allegations. Furthermore, all supervisors interviewed claimed the Lieutenant never targeted, harassed, or treated the Officer differently. The Officer himself stated that he never received any adverse treatment from the Lieutenant due to his race. After conducting interviews and reviewing documents, all allegations were found false or not supported by the facts. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2022-0298 | 7/6/2022 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer failed to take appropriate action during an incident with a disruptive inmate. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | After reviewing video footage and conducting interviews, it was determined the Officer took appropriate action based on her perception of the situation, experience, and training. Therefore, the allegation was found to be false or not supported by the fact. | 12/30/2022 |

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
12/01/2022 - 12/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| IA2022-0354 | 8/9/2022 | External Complaint | It was alleged an Unknown Detention Officer at Estrella Jail placed the inmate complainant in an "arm bar" while in a holding cell. It was also alleged an Unknown Officer at the Mental health Unit in LBJ used excessive force when she pressed a handcuff into the complainant's chest bone. Lastly, an Unknown Officer at LBJ allegedly used excessive force by placing the inmate complainant in a "hog-tie" position. | CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force CP1-Use of Force | Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded | | Due to a lack of facility footage, and the inability to identify an Officer, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that an Officer inappropriately used an "arm bar" on the complainant at Estrella Jail. However, a review of the video footage at LBJ provides clear and convincing evidence that the allegation an Officer intentionally pressed a handcuff into the complainant's chest and hog-tied her was false or not supported by the facts. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2022-0475 | 9/22/2022 | Internal Complaint | An anonymous employee alleged a Detention Officer failed to follow directives from Command staff. It was also alleged while on duty, the Officer utilized his smartwatch to send text messages and chewed tobacco. Additionally, it was reported he abandoned his direct supervision duty post without being relieved. | GD4 - Use of Tobacco Products GB2 - Command Responsibility CP2 - Code of Conduct - Prohibited Items Entering Secured Jail Facilities CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained | Previously Resigned/Retired | The Detention Officer acknowledged he violated policy when he failed to follow directives and wear a face mask while on duty. He also admitted to having a smartwatch and using chewing tobacco while on duty, violating policy. The investigation determined that there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer's allegation that he abandoned his duty post. | 12/30/2022 |
| IA2022-0508 | 10/17/2022 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Detention Officer ignored an inmates request for medical attention. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Unfounded | | The video footage and the corroborating statements made by Officers and a witness provide clear and convincing evidence that the allegation was false or not supported by the facts. | 12/30/2022 |