

Professional Standards Bureau  
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations  
09/01/2021 - 09/30/2021

| IA No       | Opened     | Incident Type               | Summary  | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)  | Outcome  | Discipline                  | Investigative Summary   | Closed    |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| IA2018-0517 | 8/13/2018  | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer has been purposely antagonizing her inmate husband to place him into Disciplinary Segregation.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody  | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | Due to the principal employee refusing to participate in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Officer would regularly instigate or antagonize the inmate.  | 9/2/2021  |
| IA2018-0603 | 9/24/2018  | External Complaint          | The anonymous complainant alleged a Sworn Captain was racially biased toward a member of the public.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | Since the complaint was submitted anonymously with no contact information provided, further contact could not be made to gather more details. Because of this, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the vague allegation.   | 9/2/2021  |
| IA2018-0086 | 2/5/2018   | Internal Complaint          | It was reported an Operations Manager was arrested by Wickenburg PD after displaying reckless driving behavior and rear ending a Wickenburg Patrol Vehicle. It was also reported the Manager was arrested for DUI.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws   | Sustained<br>Sustained   | Previously Resigned/Retired | It was found the Operations Manager failed to comply with established laws by driving under the extreme influence of alcohol and reckless driving.  | 9/3/2021  |
| IA2019-0161 | 4/9/2019   | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer and Detention Sergeant used excessive force on her inmate daughter by putting pressure on her lower extremities while placing her in restraints. It was also alleged the Sergeant failed to supervise a safebed placement of the inmate.   | CP1-Use of Force<br>CP1-Use of Force<br>GB2 - Command Responsibility   | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded  | N/A<br>N/A                  | A review of the video found the allegation of excessive force by the Detention Officer and the Detention Sergeant was false or not supported by fact. It was found the Detention Sergeant acted in the best interest of the Office and her staff during the safebed placement; the allegation of the Sergeant failing to supervise was false or not supported by fact.  | 9/3/2021  |
| IA2017-0191 | 3/6/2017   | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged two Deputies were rude and "discriminatory" during a traffic stop.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling   | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Not-Sustained<br>Unfounded                         | N/A<br>N/A                  | Since the complainant was unwilling to participate in the investigation to provide details, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the language or actions of the first Deputy were rude. A review of the body worn camera footage showed the allegations of discriminatory actions by both Deputies and rudeness of the second Deputy were false or not supported by fact.   | 9/7/2021  |
| IA2017-0531 | 8/6/2017   | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged while in custody, a Detention Officer neglected to intervene and laughed as he was being assaulted by another inmate. It was alleged an Unknown employee improperly placed the complainant in a holding cell, leading to his assault.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards   | Unfounded<br>Exonerated<br>Sustained   | N/A<br>N/A                  | A review of the jail surveillance video footage showed the Detention Officer notified security control and waited to enter the holding tank when backup Officers arrived. The Officer's actions were appropriate and within MCSO policy and safety procedures. The allegation of the Officer laughing during the complainant's assault was false or not supported by fact. It was found an Unknown Employee violated policy when they improperly placed the complainant in the holding cell.  | 9/7/2021  |
| IA2019-0268 | 5/29/2019  | Internal Complaint          | A Detention Officer reported he received a criminal traffic ticket for alleged criminal speeding.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws  | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer was criminally speeding due to the Deputy pacing the Detention Officer's speed instead of using radar, and the body worn camera footage not depicting the Officer traveling in excess of 85 mph.   | 9/7/2021  |
| IA2017-0854 | 11/28/2017 | External Complaint          | The anonymous complainant alleged a Detention Officer took pallets from the Food Services Division after being denied permission. It was also alleged the Officer asked another supervisor after he was denied permission. Additionally, it was alleged the Officer took water and toolboxes slated to be thrown away without permission. It was alleged the Officer, while in an uncompensated status, performed work related duties and used MCSO equipment to complete personal work. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Exonerated<br>Sustained<br>Sustained<br>Sustained<br>Sustained<br>Exonerated | Previously Resigned/Retired | It was found the Detention Officer violated policy when he took the pallets without permission and when he asked another supervisor for permission when he was already denied. It was also found the Officer violated policy when he took water from an MCSO facility for personal use. Due to the toolboxes being discarded and therefore rendered trash, the actions of the Officer taking them was reasonable and did not violate policy. It was found the Officer violated policy when he completed his assigned duties in an uncompensated status. Although approval to use MCSO equipment off duty could not be confirmed, it was found employees had been granted permission in the past to do so as long as a supervisor was notified. The Officer utilizing MCSO equipment in an uncompensated status was found reasonable due to the following: he had not been directed not to; his understanding that he had permission previously granted; other employees were approved to do so; and the supervisor's expectations were never clearly provided to him. | 9/10/2021 |
| IA2020-0571 | 10/21/2020 | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged a Call Taker and Supervisor were unprofessional, and refused to provide the information he was requesting.   | GD1 - General Office Procedures<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>GD1 - General Office Procedures<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded                             | N/A<br>N/A                  | The audio communications recording demonstrated the Call Taker and her Supervisor were businesslike and courteous in explaining why they were unable to provide the requested information to the complainant. The allegations made against the Call Taker and Supervisor were false or not supported by fact.   | 9/10/2021 |
| IA2021-0019 | 8/18/2021  | External Complaint Criminal | The complainant alleged her and a Sworn Recruit were involved in a physical domestic violence incident. The complainant also alleged the Sworn Recruit committed perjury when he lied to the courts during the child custody hearing.  | [No allegations]   | Unfounded  | N/A                         | A review of witness statements showed the Deputy Recruit did not assault the complainant. Additionally, there was no evidence to support the allegation of perjury. The case was cleared unfounded.   | 9/13/2021 |
| IA2017-0337 | 5/17/2017  | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged two Detention Officers ate food and engaged in conversation when she was attempting to make an appointment for visitation.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Exonerated<br>Exonerated   | N/A<br>N/A                  | The investigation found the actions of the Detention Officers were acceptable as they assisted the complainant in a reasonable amount of time.  | 9/13/2021 |
| IA2018-0304 | 5/7/2018   | External Complaint          | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer purposely riled up the dorm creating a situation where her daughter was assaulted by another inmate. The complainant also alleged the Detention Officer did this as retaliation for the original complaint.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody<br>CP11 - Anti-Retaliation   | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained   | N/A                         | Due to the inmate and the principal refusing to participate, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Officer's actions were retaliatory and led to the assault of the complainant's daughter.  | 9/13/2021 |
| IA2021-0170 | 4/6/2021   | Internal Complaint          | A Detention Officer self reported he was arrested for reckless driving and DUI.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>Previously Resigned/Retired  | Sustained<br>Sustained   | Previously Resigned/Retired | The Detention Officer failed to comply with established laws by driving under the influence of alcohol and reckless driving.  | 13-Sep-21 |
| IA2021-0011 | 4/8/2021   | External Complaint Criminal | A Sergeant with the Phoenix Police Department reported a call for service at a local hospital involving allegations of assault by Deputies. The complainant alleged he was assaulted by Deputies during a prior call for service, causing him injuries.  | [No allegations]<br>[No allegations]   | Unfounded<br>Unfounded   | N/A<br>N/A                  | A review of the body worn camera showed the subject was attempting to escape from Deputy custody. It was found the Deputies used reasonable force to control a resisting subject attempting to escape. The allegation of assault was cleared unfounded.   | 9/15/2021 |
| IA2018-0006 | 10/17/2018 | Critical Incident           | During a call for service, a Deputy discharged his firearm toward charging canines, missing his intended target.   | CP1-Use of Force   | Exonerated   | N/A                         | It was found the Deputy was within MCSO policy and procedure when he discharged his firearm in an attempt to stop or prevent the canine attack.   | 9/16/2021 |
| IA2021-0342 | 6/28/2021  | Internal Complaint          | It was alleged a Detention Officer made a comment to a fellow Detention Officer about potential gun violence at the workplace.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | Due to a lack of witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Officer made the statement.  | 9/16/2021 |
| IA2020-0554 | 10/12/2020 | External Complaint          | An anonymous complainant alleged, while on duty, a Deputy Sergeant was having sex and forced the individual to continue the sexual relationship against her will.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct   | Unfounded  | N/A                         | An interview with the individual named as the victim was contacted and she stated she never met or had a relationship with the Sergeant. The allegations were false or not supported by fact.   | 9/17/2021 |
| IA2021-0012 | 1/12/2021  | External Complaint          | An anonymous complainant alleged a Sheriff's van cut him off after leaving the jail. It was also alleged, one of the vehicle's occupants turned on the emergency lights after the complainant flashed his high beams.  | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles<br>GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles<br>GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles  | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained                              | N/A<br>N/A                  | Due to a lack of video or independent witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove a Detention Officer cut in front of the anonymous complainant while driving. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove either occupant activated the vehicle's emergency lights.   | 9/20/2021 |

Professional Standards Bureau  
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations  
09/01/2021 - 09/30/2021

| IA No       | Opened     | Incident Type      | Summary   | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)   | Outcome   | Discipline   | Investigative Summary  | Closed    |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|-----------|
| IA2021-0425 | 8/13/2021  | External Complaint | DUPLICATE CASE TO IA2021-0409. Allegation will be investigated under one IA number.   | N/A   | N/A   | N/A  | DUPLICATE CASE TO IA2021-0409. Allegation will be investigated under one IA number.  | 9/20/2021 |
| IA2017-0270 | 4/19/2017  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy Sergeant was unprofessional and intimidating when providing her with a letter regarding her calls for service. Additionally, she alleged the letter he provided her was offensive and made her feel like a criminal.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Exonerated<br>Unfounded   | N/A  | After reviewing the body worn camera footage, the allegation of the Sergeant being unprofessional or intimidating was false or not supported by fact. The Deputy's letter was found to have been appropriate and within MCSO policy and procedure.   | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2017-0326 | 5/10/2017  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged the Deputy did not handle her call for service appropriately and was rude because he did not take her seriously and was dismissive of her complaint. Additionally, she alleged the Deputy did not get her friend's name.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards   | Unfounded<br>Exonerated   | N/A  | The actions of the Deputy not asking for her friend's name was appropriate and within MCSO policy and procedure due to the circumstances. The allegation of the Deputy not handling the call for service appropriately and being rude and dismissive was false or not supported by fact.   | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2017-0600 | 8/23/2017  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged the Sergeant failed to arrest a subject with a warrant.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty  | Unfounded   | N/A  | A review of the body worn camera footage found the Sergeant did not come into contact with the subject with an active arrest warrant. The allegation of the Sergeant failing to arrest him was false or not supported by fact.   | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2019-0120 | 3/22/2019  | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy misused the ACIS network in violation of Arizona Revised Statutes by conducting an inquiry on himself on four separate occasions. It was also alleged a Posse Member violated ARS by conducting a records query on the Deputy.  | GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br><br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Exonerated<br>Exonerated<br>Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Sustained<br>Exonerated<br>Exonerated<br>Not-Sustained<br><br>Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained | Written Reprimand<br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br>N/A | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy or the Posse Member ran the Deputy's information for the first inquiry. It was found the second inquiry was in relation to his job duties and within policy and statute. It was found, however, he failed to make a reasonable decision when he conducted the search rather than reaching out to someone else for the information. It was found the Deputy conducted the third inquiry however there was insufficient evidence to prove it was done for law enforcement reasons or curiosity. Due to how the inquiry was categorized, it was found the Deputy failed to make a reasonable decision because he had other means of obtaining the information. Additionally, it was found the Deputy completed the fourth inquiry for the purposes of administration of justice and was therefore within policy and statute. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy had permission from his Sergeant to conduct the inquiry. | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2019-0149 | 4/5/2019   | Internal Complaint | The complainant alleged her ex-husband, a Detention Sergeant, harassed her when he brought his new girlfriend with him to pick up his personal documents and via email after she asked him to stop. Additionally, she alleged the Sergeant retaliated against her when he reported allegations against her from their former neighbor.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP3 - Workplace Professionalism   | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained  | N/A  | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Sergeant brought his girlfriend when picking up documents to intentionally harass the complainant. A review of the emails exchanged found the content did not meet the threshold of harassment, however there was insufficient evidence to prove the Sergeant sent the emails to harass the complainant. Lastly, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Sergeant's intent for reporting the allegations against the complainant.  | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2020-0219 | 5/5/2020   | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional by hitting on her during a call for service. She also alleged his inappropriate advances affected the outcome of the investigation because he was more interested in her than conducting an investigation.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards   | Not-Sustained<br>Unfounded  | N/A  | Since there was no body worn camera footage of the timeframe the alleged comments were made, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy made inappropriate advances. It was found the Deputy conducted a thorough investigation and the outcome was not affected by any actions or inactions by the Deputy, the second allegation was false or not supported by fact.   | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2020-0472 | 9/3/2020   | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was rude and re-victimized her when he made her feel stupid, did not listen to her, or believe her story.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  | Unfounded   | N/A  | After reviewing the body worn camera footage, the Deputy was found to be professional and empathetic to the complainant's situation. As requested, the Deputy generated an Incident Report documenting the complainant's concerns finding the allegation to be false or not supported by fact.   | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2020-0655 | 11/24/2020 | External Complaint | The anonymous complainant alleged a Deputy made racial statements specific to African Americans on social media.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Social Networking Sites<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained  | N/A  | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy's social media post was race related.  | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2021-0027 | 1/20/2021  | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Posse member driving a fully marked MCSO vehicle was speeding in a construction zone, passed him on the median, causing road debris to damage their vehicle.   | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles   | Not-Sustained   | N/A  | While being interviewed, the complainants stated their car had not been damaged. Because Posse vehicles are not equipped with GPS, the speed could not be verified. Therefore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.  | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2021-0040 | 1/26/2021  | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy was involved in a minor, non-injury vehicle collision while driving a county vehicle.   | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles   | Sustained   | Coaching   | The Deputy was found to be the at-fault driver.  | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2021-0042 | 1/27/2021  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy provided security services in an off-duty capacity while being compensated by MCSO. Additionally, the complainant alleged the Deputy used his county vehicle while working off-duty.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System<br>GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles   | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Exonerated  | N/A  | It was found the Deputy did not provide off-duty security services while being compensated by MCSO, the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The Deputy was found within MCSO policy and procedure when he used an MCSO vehicle while working off-duty.  | 9/21/2021 |
| IA2017-0786 | 10/26/2017 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged her inmate son was the victim of torture, cruel punishment, and overall unethical mistreatment by Unknown Detention Staff. Additionally, she alleged Unknown Employees made incorrect entries into the Operations Journal (OJ) related to her and her son and threatened to sexually assault him. She also alleged a Detention Officer cursed at her son. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody<br>OJ2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks<br>GI28 - Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)<br><br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br><br>Not-Sustained   | N/A<br><br><br><br><br>N/A   | Based on reports, the inmate's statements, and the overall functions of Detention, the allegation of the inmate being a victim to cruel punishment, torture, and unethical mistreatment was false or not supported by fact. A review of the Operations Journal shows the allegation of incorrect entries was false or not supported by fact. Based on the inmate's lack of grievances, PREA reports, and the inmate stating it never happened, the allegation of sexual assault was false or not supported by fact. Because of the lack of date and time and the alleged Officer refusing to participate in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer cursed at the complainant's son.   | 9/22/2021 |
| IA2020-0339 | 7/3/2020   | External Complaint | The complainant alleged an Unknown Deputy rushed at him in a threatening and menacing manner during a court hearing. Additionally, he alleged the Deputy was covering up the sexual abuse of a minor when he did not arrest the subject that admitted to the abuse while in court.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct   | Unfounded<br>Unfounded  | N/A  | After review of the court surveillance video, it was found the allegations made by the complainant did not take place and were false or not supported by fact.   | 9/22/2021 |
| IA2021-0035 | 1/25/2021  | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Deputy used profanity toward them. Additionally, it was alleged the deputy refused to provide his name and badge number after they requested the information and subsequently threatened to arrest them if they insisted on obtaining his information.   | GH2 - Internal Investigations<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>GH2 - Internal Investigations  | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded   | N/A  | After a review of the body worn camera footage, the allegation of the Deputy failing to provide his name after it was requested was found to have been against the responding DPS Trooper; the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The body camera footage also showed the allegation of profanity by the Deputy was false or not supported by fact.  | 9/22/2021 |
| IA2021-0361 | 7/8/2021   | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Sworn Deputy Chief said the "N-word" 3-4 years ago during a conversation about the Harvard test on implicit bias.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  | Not-Sustained   | N/A  | Based on witness statements, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy Chief used a racial slur.   | 9/22/2021 |

Professional Standards Bureau  
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations  
09/01/2021 - 09/30/2021

| IA No       | Opened     | Incident Type      | Summary  | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)   | Outcome  | Discipline                  | Investigative Summary   | Closed    |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| IA2020-0685 | 12/14/2020 | External Complaint | An anonymous complainant alleged a Sworn Lieutenant went to work on multiple days with an exposure to COVID-19 and active symptoms.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives  | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | Since there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Lieutenant's symptoms were in fact COVID-19 related; there was insufficient evidence he failed to follow office directives.  | 9/23/2021 |
| IA2017-0710 | 9/30/2017  | External Complaint | It is alleged a Deputy is using his take home vehicle for personal use. It was also alleged the Deputy drives his county vehicle under the influence of alcohol.   | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Alcohol  | Exonerated<br>Unfounded  | N/A                         | Due to the Deputy being in an "on-call" status, his limited personal use of his county vehicle was appropriate and within MCSO policy and procedure. Based on witness statements, the allegation of the Deputy driving his county vehicle under the influence was false or not supported by fact.   | 9/24/2021 |
| IA2020-0706 | 12/23/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Emergency Dispatcher and Emergency Dispatch Supervisor were overbearingly rude during their conversation with him. He alleged both employees would not dispatch or have a deputy contact him for assistance.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards  | Unfounded<br>Exonerated<br>Unfounded<br>Exonerated                               | N/A<br>N/A                  | A review of the telephonic conversation found the Dispatcher and the Dispatch Supervisor were professional and calm with the complainant; the rudeness allegation against the two employees was false or not supported by fact. The investigation found the complainant's information was transferred due to his address was not being within MCSO's jurisdiction. The actions of the employees were with MCSO policy and procedure.  | 9/24/2021 |
| IA2021-0054 | 2/3/2021   | External Complaint | After speaking with an inmate, a CHS Nurse alleged an unknown female Detention Officer gave the inmate her jacket and purchased two meals for him while transporting him.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Associations and Fraternization with Inmates or Prisoners   | Unfounded  | N/A                         | After conducting a review of logs and surveillance video, it was found inmate was transported by two male Detention Officers, not a female Officer. Due to this, the allegation was found to have been false or not supported by fact.  | 9/24/2021 |
| IA2021-0213 | 4/27/2021  | External Complaint | An anonymous complainant alleged a Detention Officer made inappropriate comments regarding officer involved shootings.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained   | N/A<br>N/A                  | Due to the complainant being anonymous and the potential witness refusing to participate in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the two Detention Officers made inappropriate comments.   | 9/24/2021 |
| IA2021-0215 | 4/28/2021  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged Deputies were aggressive and assertive by being forceful with her daughter during the service of a mental health petition. Additionally, she alleged too many Deputies were utilized to serve a mental health petition order. She also alleged the TASER deployment by one of the Deputies was unnecessary.  | CP1-Use of Force<br>CP1-Use of Force<br>GJ30 - TASER Conducted Electrical Weapon<br>CP1-Use of Force<br>CP1-Use of Force<br>CP1-Use of Force<br>CP1-Use of Force  | Exonerated<br>Exonerated<br>Exonerated<br>Exonerated<br>Exonerated<br>Exonerated | N/A<br>N/A<br>N/A           | The body worn camera video showed the subject was actively resisting during the incident. Due to the subjects history and her actions and behaviors during the incident, the amount of responding Deputies and the force utilized was appropriate and within MCSO policy and procedure. The Deputy's use of his TASER was found appropriate and within MCSO policy and procedure.   | 24-Sep-21 |
| IA2021-0368 | 7/14/2021  | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer was arrested for DUI. It was also alleged the Officer failed to report the incident to a Supervisor.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed   | Sustained<br>Sustained   | Employee Terminated         | It was found the Detention Officer failed to comply with established laws by driving under the influence of alcohol. It was also found he violated policy when he failed to report the incident to his supervisor.  | 9/24/2021 |
| IA2017-0626 | 9/5/2017   | External Complaint | The inmate complainant alleged the Detention Officer interfered with his civil case when she took his law book and deprived him access to the courts. Additionally, she alleged the Officer read his legal mail when attaching a document to his complainant.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards   | Unfounded<br>Exonerated  | N/A                         | It was found the Detention Officer confiscating the book from the complainant in no way interfered with his access to the courts or his pending civil case; the allegation was false or not supported by fact. Additionally, it was found the documents were not the complainant's legal mail but rather a legal request document and a comment and complaint form. Since Detention Staff is expected to review documentation received from inmates, the Officer was within MCSO policy and procedure.  | 9/28/2021 |
| IA2019-0252 | 5/23/2019  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was responsible for missing money during a DUI investigation. Additionally, it was alleged the complaint was not appropriately accepted.  | GH-2 Internal Investigations<br>GE-3 Property Management and Evidence Control   | Exonerated<br>Unfounded  | N/A                         | The allegation of the Deputy being responsible for the complainant's money was false or not supported by fact. It was found the complainant was not alleging misconduct but rather his actions were argumentative discourse related to his intoxication; the actions of the Deputy were within MCSO policy and procedure.   | 9/28/2021 |
| IA2020-0654 | 11/24/2020 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy was the at-fault driver of an on duty, non-injury vehicle collision.   | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles   | Sustained  | Coaching                    | The Deputy violated policy when he rear-ended another vehicle causing minor damage.   | 9/28/2021 |
| IA2021-0066 | 2/9/2021   | External Complaint | Due to her mental health history and the "good ole boys club," the complainant alleged she was charged with an assault instead of her male neighbor.   | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling  | Unfounded  | N/A                         | The allegation of the Deputy using sex, veteran status, or health history to make law enforcement decisions was false or not supported by fact.   | 9/28/2021 |
| IA2021-0157 | 3/26/2021  | External Complaint | The complainant alleged three Deputies arrested her 14-year-old son, who was carrying a toy gun in his back pocket, because he is African American and not a "blonde white boy." Additionally, she alleged a Deputy arrested her juvenile son and held him for several hours without notifying her. She also alleged the Deputy laughed after her son was arrested and said, "Ha-ha, you're really going to like Durango. You're really going to fit in good." Lastly, she alleged the Deputy was rude and talked down to her when serving an Injunction Against Harassment. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling<br>CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling<br>EA-19 Juvenile Operations<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded<br>Unfounded                    | N/A<br>N/A<br>N/A           | It was found the Deputies had adequate probable cause to arrest the complainant's juvenile son due to a violation of a standing Order of Protection; the allegation the arrest was based on his race was false or not supported by fact. A review of body worn camera footage showed the Deputy notified the complainant about the arrest of her son in less than twenty minutes; the allegation was false or not supported by fact. The body worn camera footage also showed the Deputy did not make any unprofessional comments and remained calm and professional with both the complainant and her son; the allegations were false or not supported by fact.                                    | 9/28/2021 |
| CI2019-0024 | 12/12/2019 | Critical Incident  | A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of an inmate that occurred at the Estrella Jail Facility. During the investigation, it was alleged a Detention Officer made two inaccurate entries into SHIELD regarding two security walks.   | CP5 - Truthfulness<br>DB2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks<br>CP5 - Truthfulness<br>DB2 - Operations Journal & Logbooks  | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Sustained                     | Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation found there was no employee involvement in the inmate's death and the manner of death was deemed natural. Additionally, it was found the Detention Officer violated policy when she inaccurately documented one of the walks into the SHIELD database. Due to the Officer declining to participate in the investigation, the investigation could not determine the clock used to log the second walk into the system and therefore could not prove or disprove the time she entered was a purposeful or a mistake. There was also insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer intended to deceive when she inaccurately documented the walks into the SHIELD database. | 9/30/2021 |
| IA2015-0682 | 9/9/2015   | Internal Complaint | It was alleged an Unknown employee failed to handle 46 IDs appropriately during their seizure and did not turn them in within a reasonable amount of time after they were seized.  | GE3 - Property Management   | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | The investigation could not determine how the MCSO possessed one of the IDs and therefore could not identify a principal or determine if there was employee misconduct. Because of these factors, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove an Unknown employee failed to handle the ID appropriately.   | 9/30/2021 |
| IA2018-0434 | 7/5/2018   | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer used a derogatory racial term when referencing an inmate.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | Due to the complainant not participating in the investigation and the witness not able to recall the incident, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer used derogatory racial terms when referencing an inmate.  | 9/30/2021 |
| IA2018-0671 | 11/16/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy, working an off duty job, screamed at the complainant and other drivers.  | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor  | Not-Sustained  | N/A                         | The complainant could not confirm if the incident involved a Glendale Officer or MCSO Deputy. An off-duty Deputy could not be identified as having worked traffic control at the address provided. Due to an unresponsive witness and no evidence to substantiate an MCSO Deputy was present at the site, there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation made.  | 9/30/2021 |

Professional Standards Bureau  
 Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations  
 09/01/2021 - 09/30/2021

| IA No       | Opened    | Incident Type      | Summary  | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)  | Outcome  | Discipline | Investigative Summary  | Closed    |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--|--|--|------------|--|-----------|
| IA2021-0133 | 3/12/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged he had contacted Phoenix Police repeatedly on his neighbor, a Detention Officer, for noise complaints and various other criminal actions. Additionally, the complainant alleged the Officer gave him the "finger" when attempting to resolve the issue. It was also alleged the Officer failed to report his police contact on two separate occasions. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed<br>CP2 - Code of Conduct - Keeping Supervisors Informed | Not-Sustained<br>Not-Sustained<br>Sustained<br>Sustained | Coaching   | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer committed the various crimes alleged by the complainant. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer gave the complainant the "middle finger" when he attempted to resolve their issues. It was found the Detention Officer failed to notify his supervisor he had police contact on two separate occasions. | 30-Sep-21 |
| IA2021-0275 | 5/27/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional when he made an incorrect statement to his neighbor about him while serving civil process.   | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor   | Exonerated   | N/A        | The Deputy's observation of the complainant and subsequent comment to his neighbor while serving court paperwork was based on years of detective experience. The Deputy admitted to making a statement but was not found to have violated Office policy.   | 9/30/2021 |